arXiv:1207.6708v1 [math.GN] 28 Jul 2012

FIBER-PARALLEL TOPOLOGICAL I^{-1}-SEMIGROUPS

TARAS BANAKH AND IRYNA PASTUKHOVA

Abstract. In this paper we introduce and study fiber-parallel topological I^{-1}-semigroups. In particular, we show that the class of fiber-parallel topological semigroups contains all topological groups, all topological semilattices, all compact Hausdorff topological I^{-1}-semigroups, and is closed under the operations of taking I^{-1}-subsemigroups, Tychonoff products, semidirect products, an the Hartman-Mycielski extension.

1. Introduction

In this paper we shall introduce fiber-parallel topological I^{-1}-semigroups and shall study some basic properties of such semigroups. Introducing fiber-parallel topological I^{-1}-semigroup was motivated by the problem of generalization of Hryniv's Embedding Theorems [9] beyond the class of compact topological inverse semigroups. Fiber-parallel topological I^{-1}-semigroups will be introduced in Section 3 after discussing a more general notion of a topological I^{-1}-semigroup in Section 2. In Section 4 we shall establish some stability properties of the class of fiber-parallel topological I^{-1}-semigroups. Fiber-parallel topological I^{-1}-semigroups will be essentially used in a forthcoming paper [2] devoted to detecting topological Clifford semigroups which embed into Tychonoff products of topological semigroups isomorphic to cones over topological groups.

2. Semigroups with inversion

By definition, a *semigroup with inversion* (briefly, an *I^{-1}-semigroup*) is a semigroup S endowed with an unary operation $(\,)^{-1}: S \to S$ assigning to each point $x \in S$ an element $x^{-1} \in S$ which is *inverse* to x in the sense that $xx^{-1}x = x$ and $x^{-1}xx^{-1} = x^{-1}$.

The notion of an I^{-1}-semigroup is tightly connected with the well-known notion of a regular semigroup. We recall that a semigroup S is *regular* if $x \in xSx$ for each element $x \in S$. In this case we can find an element $y \in S$ with $xyx = x$ and put $x^{-1} = yxy$. The element x^{-1} has the desired properties:

$$xx^{-1}x = xyxyx = xyx = x \text{ and } x^{-1}xx^{-1} = yxyxyxy = yxyxy = yxy = x^{-1}.$$

Therefore, each I^{-1}-semigroup is regular and each regular semigroup S admits an unary operation $(\,)^{-1}$ turning S into an I^{-1}-semigroup. If such operation $(\,)^{-1}$ is unique, then S is called an *inverse semigroup.* So, each inverse semigroup S can be considered as a semigroup with inversion. It is known [8, 5.1.1] that an I^{-1}-semigroup S is inverse if and only if $(x^{-1})^{-1} = x$ and $xx^{-1}yy^{-1} = yy^{-1}xx^{-1}$ for any $x, y \in S$. An I^{-1}-semigroup S is called an *I-semigroup* if $(x^{-1})^{-1} = x$ for all $x \in S$.

Now we consider several classes of I-semigroups.

An I-semigroup S is called

- a *completely regular I-semigroup* (briefly a *cr$I$-semigroup*) if $xx^{-1} = x^{-1}x$ for each $x \in S$;
- an *inverse semigroup* if $xx^{-1}yy^{-1} = yy^{-1}xx^{-1}$ for each $x, y \in S$;
- a *Clifford semigroup* if it is inverse and completely regular;
- an *I^*-semigroup* if $(xy)^{-1} = y^{-1}x^{-1}$ for each $x, y \in S$;
- an *I_*-semigroup* if $(xx^{-1})^{-1} = xx^{-1}$ for each $x \in S$;
- an *EI-semigroup* if $x^{-1} = x$ for each idempotent $x = xx \in S$.
- a *Boolean I-semigroup* if $x^{-1} = x$ for each $x \in S$;
- a *Boolean I-band* if $x^{-1} = x = xx$ for each $x \in S$;
- a *semilattice* if $x^{-1} = x = xx$ and $xy = yx$ for each $x, y \in S$;
- a *group* if $xx^{-1} = yy^{-1}$ for each $x, y \in S$.

The above notions relate as follows:

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22A15.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\text{commutative } I^{-1}\text{-semigroup} \arrow[dr] & \text{group} \arrow[d] & \text{inverse semigroup} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & I^*\text{-semigroup} \arrow[d] \\
\text{semilattice} \arrow[u] \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \text{Clifford semigroup} \arrow[ur] \arrow[dr] & EI\text{-semigroup} \arrow[r] & I_*\text{-semigroup} \arrow[d] \\
\text{Boolean } I\text{-band} \arrow[r] & \text{Boolean } I\text{-semigroup} \arrow[r] & crI\text{-semigroup} \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & I\text{-semigroup} \arrow[d] \\
 & & & I^{-1}\text{-semigroup}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Now we present several distinguishing examples.

Example 2.1. There is an I^{-1}-semigroup which is not an I-semigroup.

Proof. Let X be a set containing more than one element and S be the semigroup of all partial functions $f : \mathrm{dom}(f) \to X$ defined on subsets $\mathrm{dom}(f) \subset X$. The semigroup S is endowed with the binary operation of composition $\circ : S \times S \to S$ assigning to any two partial functions $f, g \in S$ the partial function $f \circ g : \mathrm{dom}(f \circ g) \to X$, $f \circ g : x \mapsto f(g(x))$, defined on the set $\mathrm{dom}(f \circ g) = \{x \in \mathrm{dom}(g) : g(x) \in \mathrm{dom}(f)\}$. For each partial function $f \in S$ choose a partial function $f^{-1} : f(X) \to X$ such that $f(f^{-1}(y)) = y$ for each $y \in f(X)$. It is clear that $f \circ f^{-1} \circ f = f$ and $f^{-1} \circ f \circ f^{-1} = f^{-1}$. So, $(S, {}^{-1})$ is an I^{-1}-semigroup. On the other hand, for any non-injective partial function $f \in S$ the function $(f^{-1})^{-1} = f|f^{-1}(f(X))$ is injective and hence is not equal to f. So, the I^{-1}-semigroup $(S, {}^{-1})$ is not an I-semigroup. □

Example 2.2. There is an I-semigroup which is not an I_*-semigroup.

Proof. Let X be a semigroup endowed with the right zero multiplication $xy = y$ for all $x, y \in X$. It follows that any function $(\ )^{-1} : X \to X$ turns X into an I^{-1}-semigroup. If $(x^{-1})^{-1} = x$ for all $x \in X$, then this semigroup is an I-semigroup. If $x^{-1} \neq x$ for some x, then $(xx^{-1})^{-1} = (x^{-1})^{-1} = x \neq x^{-1} = xx^{-1}$, which means that X is not an I_*-semigroup. □

Example 2.3. There is an I^*-semigroup which is not an EI-semigroup.

Proof. Let X be any set containing more than one point. Endow the square $X \times X$ with the semigroup operation $(a, b) \cdot (c, d) = (a, d)$ and inversion $(a, b)^{-1} = (b, a)$ where $(a, b), (c, d) \in X \times X$. Then $X \times X$ is an I^*-semigroup which is not an EI-semigroup. □

Example 2.4. Any non-commutative Boolean I-band X is an I_*-semigroup but not an I^*-semigroup.

2.1. I^{-1}-subsemigroups and I^{-1}-homomorphisms.

A subset H of an I^{-1}-semigroup S is called an *I^{-1}-subsemigroup* if for any points $x, y \in H$ the points xy and x^{-1} belong to H. So, H is an I^{-1}-semigroup with respect to the restricted operations of multiplication and inversion.

A function $f : X \to Y$ between two I^{-1}-semigroups is called an *I^{-1}-homomorphism* if $h(x \cdot y) = h(x) \cdot h(y)$ and $h(x^{-1}) = h(x)^{-1}$ for any elements $x, y \in X$.

The uniqueness of an inverse element in an inverse semigroup implies that each semigroup homomorphism $h : S \to Y$ from an I^{-1}-semigroup X to an inverse semigroup Y is an I^{-1}-homomorphism. However, in general this is not true.

Example 2.5. On each regular non-inverse semigroup S we can choose two distinct unary operations turning S into I^{-1}-semigroups S', S''. In this case the identity map $\mathrm{id} : S' \to S''$ is a semigroup homomorphism which is not an I^{-1}-homomorphism.

2.2. Topological I^{-1}-semigroups.

We recall that a *topological semigroup* is a topological space endowed with a continuous associative operation. A *topological semigroup with inversion* is a topological semigroup S endowed with a function $(\ )^{-1} : S \to S$ such that $xx^{-1}x = x$ and $x^{-1}xx^{-1} = x^{-1}$ for all $x \in S$. If the inversion $(\ )^{-1} : S \to S$ is continuous, then S will be called a *topological I^{-1}-semigroup.*

A topological I^{-1}-semigroup S will be called a *topological I-semigroup*, (resp. *topological I^*-semigroup*, *topological I_*-semigroup*, *topological EI-semigroup*, *topological inverse semigroup*, *topological Clifford semigroup*, *Boolean topological I-band*, *topological semilattice*) if S is an I-semigroup (resp. I^*-semigroup, I_*-semigroup, EI-semigroup, inverse semigroup, Clifford semigroup, Boolean I-band, semilattice) in the algebraic sense.

3. Fiber-parallel topological I^{-1}-semigroups

In this section we define the notion of a fiber-parallel topological I^{-1}-semigroup, which plays a central role in this paper.

Definition 3.1. A topological I^{-1}-semigroup S is defined to be

- *right fiber-parallel at a point* $x \in S$ if for each open neighborhood $O_x \subset S$ of x there exist a neighborhood $U_x \subset S$ of x and a neighborhood $W_{xx^{-1}} \subset S$ of the idempotent xx^{-1} such that for any point $y \in S \setminus O_x$ with $yy^{-1} \in W_{xx^{-1}}$ we get $W_{xx^{-1}} \cdot y \subset S \setminus U_x$;
- *left fiber-parallel at a point* $x \in S$ if for each open neighborhood $O_x \subset S$ of x there exist a neighborhood $U_x \subset S$ of x and a neighborhood $W_{x^{-1}x} \subset S$ of the idempotent $x^{-1}x$ such that for any point $y \in S \setminus O_x$ with $y^{-1}y \in W_{x^{-1}x}$ we get $y \cdot W_{x^{-1}x} \subset S \setminus U_x$;
- *right fiber-parallel* if S is right fiber-parallel at each point $x \in S$;
- *left fiber-parallel* if S is left fiber-parallel at each point $x \in S$;
- *fiber-parallel* if S is right fiber-parallel and left fiber-parallel.

Intuitively, the right fiber-parallelity of a topological I^{-1}-semigroup S means that map $\pi_r : S \to S$, $\pi_r : x \mapsto xx^{-1}$, has "parallel" fibers $\pi_r^{-1}(e)$, $e \in \pi_r(S)$. Respectively, the left fiber-parallelity of S is responsible for the parallelity of the fibers of the map $\pi_l : S \to S$, $\pi_l : x \mapsto x^{-1}x$.

Proposition 3.2. *A topological I^*-semigroup S is left fiber-parallel at a point $x \in S$ if and only if S is right fiber-parallel at x^{-1}.*

Proof. Since S is a topological I-semigroup, the inversion $(\ )^{-1} : S \to S$ is a homeomorphism of S with $h = h^{-1}$. To prove the "only if" part, assume that S is left fiber-parallel at some point $x \in S$. To prove that S is right fiber-parallel at the point $z = x^{-1}$, fix a neighborhood $O_z \subset S$ of z. Then $O_x = O_z^{-1} = \{y^{-1} : y \in O_z\}$ is a neighborhood of x in S. Since S is left fiber-parallel at x, there are neighborhoods $U_x \subset S$ of x and $W_{x^{-1}x} \subset S$ of the idempotent $x^{-1}x$ such that $yW_{x^{-1}x} \subset S \setminus U_x$ for each point $y \in S \setminus O_x$ with $y^{-1}y \in W_{x^{-1}x}$. We claim that the neighborhoods $U_z = U_x^{-1}$ of $z = x^{-1}$ and $W_{zz^{-1}} = W_{x^{-1}x}^{-1} \cap W_{x^{-1}x}$ of the idempotent $zz^{-1} = x^{-1}(x^{-1})^{-1} = x^{-1}x$ witness that S is right fiber-parallel at z. Indeed, if $y \in S \setminus O_z$ is any point with $yy^{-1} \in W_{zz^{-1}}$, then the point y^{-1} belongs to $S \setminus O_z^{-1} = S \setminus O_x$ while $y^{-1}(y^{-1})^{-1} = y^{-1}y \in W_{zz^{-1}} \subset W_{x^{-1}x}$. The choice of the neighborhood U_x guarantees that $y^{-1}W_{x^{-1}x} \subset S \setminus U_x$ and then

$$S \setminus U_z = S \setminus U_x^{-1} = (S \setminus U_x)^{-1} \supset (y^{-1}W_{x^{-1}x})^{-1} = W_{x^{-1}x}^{-1}y \supset W_{zz^{-1}}y.$$

By analogy we can prove the "if" part. □

Corollary 3.3. *For a topological I^*-semigroup S the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *S is left fiber-parallel;*
2. *S is right fiber-parallel;*
3. *S is fiber-parallel.*

Now we present some examples of fiber-parallel topological I^{-1}-semigroups.

Proposition 3.4. *The class of fiber-parallel topological I^{-1}-semigroups includes all topological groups, all Boolean topological I-bands, and all topological semilattices.*

Proof. Let G be a topological group. Since G is a topological I^*-semigroup, it suffices to check that G is right fiber-parallel. Fix any point $x \in G$ and a neighborhood $O_x \subset G$ of x. Let e be the unique idempotent of the group G and $U \subset G$ be a neighborhood of e such that $U^{-1} \cdot U \cdot x \subset O_x$. We claim that the neighborhoods $U_x = U \cdot x$ and $W_{xx^{-1}} = U$ witness that S is right fiber-parallel at x. It suffices to show that for any point $y \in G \setminus O_x$ the set $W_{xx^{-1}} \cdot y$ is disjoint with U_x. Assuming the opposite, we would find a point $w \in W_{xx^{-1}} = U$ such that $wy \in U_x = Ux$. Then $y \in w^{-1}Ux \subset U^{-1}Ux \subset O_x$, which contradicts the choice of $y \in G \setminus O_x$.

Now assume that S is a Boolean topological I-band. To show that S is right fiber-parallel, take any point $x \in S$ and a neighborhood $O_x \subset S$. It follows that $xx^{-1} = xx = x$. The neighborhoods $U_x = O_x$ and $W_{xx^{-1}} = O_x$ witness that S is right fiber-parallel at x as no point $y \in S \setminus O_x$ with $yy^{-1} \in W_{xx^{-1}}$ exists. By the same (trivial) reason, the Boolean topological I-band S is left fiber-parallel.

Each topological semilattice, being a Boolean topological I-band, is fiber-parallel. □

Theorem 3.5. *Each compact Hausdorff topological I^{-1}-semigroup S is fiber-parallel.*

Proof. First we prove that S is right fiber-parallel. Take any point $x \in S$ and an open neighborhood $O_x \subset S$ of x. By the regularity of the compact Hausdorff space S, the point x has an open neighborhood U_x such that $\overline{U}_x \subset O_x$. Consider the idempotent $e = xx^{-1}$ and the closed subset $Y = \{y \in S \setminus O_x : yy^{-1} = e\}$ of S. Observe that $ey = y$ for all $y \in Y$. By the continuity of the multiplication and the compactness of Y we can find an open neighborhood $O_e \subset S$ of e and an open neighborhood $U_Y \subset S$ of Y such that $O_e \cdot U_Y \subset S \setminus \overline{U}_x$. Consider the continuous map

$\pi : S \to S$, $\pi : x \mapsto xx^{-1}$, and observe that the set $U_Y \cup O_x$ is an open neighborhood of the fiber $\pi^{-1}(e)$. Then the complement $K = S \setminus (U_Y \cup O_x)$ is a compact subset of S while its image $\pi(K)$ is a compact subset of $S \setminus \{e\}$. Consequently, $W_{xx^{-1}} = O_e \setminus \pi(K)$ is an open neighborhood of e in S.

We claim that the neighborhoods U_x and $W_{xx^{-1}}$ witness that S is right fiber-parallel at x. Indeed, for any $y \in S \setminus O_x$ with $yy^{-1} \in W_{xx^{-1}}$ we get $\pi(y) = yy^{-1} \notin \pi(K)$ and hence $y \notin K = S \setminus (U_Y \cup O_x)$. Then $y \in (U_Y \cup O_x) \cap (S \setminus O_x) \subset U_Y$ and $W_{xx^{-1}}y \subset O_e \cdot U_Y \subset S \setminus U_x$.

By analogy we can prove that S is left fiber-parallel. □

The following example shows that Theorem 3.5 cannot be generalized to locally compact topological I^{-1}-semigroups and Proposition 3.4 cannot be generalized to Boolean topological I^*-semigroups.

Example 3.6. There exists a Boolean topological Clifford semigroup S such that

1. S is countable, metrizable, and locally compact;
2. the idempotent semilattice of S is compact and each subgroup of S has cardinality ≤ 2;
3. S is not fiber-parallel.

Proof. Let H be a two-element group and $T = \{0\} \cup \{\frac{1}{n}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a convergent sequence endowed with the semilattice operation

$$xy = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x = y, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the product $T \times H$ is a Boolean Clifford semigroup whose idempotent semilattice coincides with the set $E = T \times \{e\}$ where e is the idempotent of the group H. Let h be the non-identity element of the two-element group H.

Endow the I^{-1}-semigroup $S = T \times H$ with the topology τ which induces the original (compact metrizable) topology on the set $T \times \{e\}$ and the discrete topology on $T \times \{h\}$. It is easy to see that the topology τ is metrizable, locally compact, and turns S into a topological I^{-1}-semigroup.

We claim that S is not fiber-parallel at the point $x = (0, h) \in T \times H$. Assuming the opposite, for the neighborhood $O_x = \{x\}$ we would find a neighborhood U_x of x and $W_{x^{-1}x}$ of the idempotent $x^{-1}x = (0, e)$ such that $yW_{x^{-1}x} \subset S \setminus U_x$ for each points $y \in S \setminus O_x$ with $y^{-1}y \in W_{x^{-1}x}$. By the definition of the topology on S, the neighborhood $W_{x^{-1}x}$ contains a point $(\frac{1}{n}, e)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the point $y = (\frac{1}{n}, h) \neq x$ does not belong to O_x but $yW_{x^{-1}x} \ni (\frac{1}{n}, h) \cdot (0, e) = (0, h) = x \in U_x$, which contradicts $yW_{x^{-1}x} \subset S \setminus U_x$. □

The semigroup constructed in Example 3.6 is Boolean and Clifford. Such a semigroup is automatically commutative.

Proposition 3.7. *Each Boolean I^*-semigroup S is commutative.*

Proof. For every $x, y \in S$ we get $xy = (xy)^{-1} = y^{-1}x^{-1} = yx$. □

4. Preserving fiber-parallel I^{-1}-semigroups by some operations

As we know from Proposition 3.4 and Theorem 3.5, the class of fiber-parallel topological I^{-1}-semigroups contains all topological groups, all topological bands, and all compact Hausdorff topological I^{-1}-semigroups. In this section we shall show that this class is stable under many natural operations over topological I^{-1}-semigroups. We start with the following simple propositions that can be easily derived from the corresponding definitions.

Proposition 4.1. *If a topological I^{-1}-semigroup S is (right, left) fiber-parallel, then so is each I^{-1}-subsemigroup of S.*

Proposition 4.2. *The Tychonoff product $\prod_{\alpha\in A} S_\alpha$ of (left, right) fiber-parallel I^{-1}-semigroups $S_\alpha, \alpha \in A$, is a (left, right) fiber-parallel.*

Next, we consider two more complicated operations over topological I^{-1}-semigroups: the Hartman-Mycielski extension and the operation of semidirect product. These two operations are considered in the next two subsections.

4.1. **Hartman-Mycielski Extension.** Given a topological space X, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by $HM_n(X)$ we denote the set of all functions $f : [0, 1) \to X$ for which there exists a sequence $0 = a_0 < a_1 < \cdots < a_n = 1$ such that f is constant on each interval $[a_i, a_{i+1}), 0 \le i < n$. The union $HM(X) = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} HM_n(X)$ is called the *Hartman-Mycielski extension* of the space X, see [7], [3].

A neighborhood sub-base of the topology of $HM(X)$ at $f \in HM(X)$ consists of sets $N(a, b, V, \varepsilon)$, where

1. $0 \le a < b \le 1$, f is constant on $[a, b)$, V is a neighborhood of $f(a)$ in X, and $\varepsilon > 0$,
2. $g \in N(a, b, V, \varepsilon)$ means that $|\{t \in [a, b) : g(t) \notin V\}| < \varepsilon$, where $|\cdot|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure.

If X is a topological I^{-1}-semigroup, then $HM(X)$ also is a topological I^{-1}-semigroup with respect to the pointwise operations of multiplication and inversion of functions, see [1, Proposition 2]. The I^{-1}-semigroup X can be identified with the I^{-1}-subsemigroup of $HM(X)$ consisting of all constant functions.

Theorem 4.3. *If S is a (left, right) fiber-parallel topological I^{-1}-semigroup, then so the I^{-1}-semigroup $HM(S)$.*

Proof. First we assume that S is a left fiber-parallel topological I^{-1}-semigroup. To show that the topological I^{-1}-semigroup $HM(S)$ is left fiber-parallel, fix any element $f \in HM(S)$ and its sub-basic neighborhood $O_f = N(a,b,O_{f(a)},\varepsilon)$ where $[a,b) \subset [0,1)$, $O_{f(a)}$ is an open neighborhood of $f(a)$ in S, and $|\{t \in [a,b) : f(t) \notin O_{f(a)}\}| < \varepsilon$. Since S is left fiber-parallel at s, there are neighborhoods $U_x \subset S$ and $W_{x^{-1}x}$ of the points $x = f(a)$ and $x^{-1}x = (f^{-1}f)(a) = f(a)^{-1}f(a)$ such that for every $y \in S \setminus O_{f(a)}$ with $y^{-1}y \in W_{x^{-1}x}$ we get $yW_{x^{-1}x} \subset S \setminus U_x$.

Consider the open neighborhoods $U_f = N(a,b,U_x,\frac{\varepsilon}{3}) \subset HM(S)$ of f and $W_{f^{-1}f} = N(a,b,W_{x^{-1}x},\frac{\varepsilon}{3}) \subset HM(S)$ of $f^{-1}f$. We claim that $gW_{f^{-1}f} \subset HM(S) \setminus U_f$ for each function $g \in HM(S) \setminus O_f$ with $g^{-1}g \in W_{f^{-1}f}$. Assume conversely that $gw \in U_f$ for some $w \in W_{f^{-1}f}$. It follows from $g^{-1}g \in W_{f^{-1}f} = N(a,b,W_{x^{-1}x},\frac{\varepsilon}{3})$ that the set $A = \{t \in [a,b) : g(t)^{-1}g(t) \notin W_{x^{-1}x}\}$ has Lebesgue measure $< \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. On the other hand, the inclusion $gw \in U_f = N(a,b,U_x,\frac{\varepsilon}{3})$ implies that the set $B = \{t \in [a,b) : g(t) \cdot w(t) \notin U_x\}$ has Lebesgue measure $< \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. Finally, the inclusion $w \in W_{f^{-1}f}$ implies that the set $C = \{t \in [a,b) : w(t) \notin W_{x^{-1}x}\}$ has Lebesgue measure $< \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. Then the union $A \cup B \cup C$ has Lebesque measure $< \varepsilon$ and for each $t \in [a,b) \setminus (A \cup B \cup C)$ we get $g(t)^{-1}g(t) \in W_{x^{-1}x}$, $w(t) \in W_{x^{-1}x}$ and $g(t)w(t) \in U_x$. Then the choice of the neighborhoods U_x and $W_{x^{-1}x}$ guarantees that $g(t) \in O_{f(a)}$ and hence $g \in N(a,b,O_{f(a)},\varepsilon) = O_f$, which contradicts the choice of g. So, the neighborhoods U_f and $W_{f^{-1}f}$ witness that the topological I^{-1}-semigroup $HM(S)$ is left fiber-parallel at f.

By analogy we can prove that the topological I^{-1}-semigroup $HM(S)$ is right fiber-parallel provided so is the I^{-1}-semigroup S. □

Since the Hartman-Mycielski space $HM(X)$ is contractible [7], Theorem 4.3 implies:

Corollary 4.4. *Each (left, right) fiber-parallel topological I^{-1}-semigroup is topologically isomorphic to an I^{-1}-subsemigroup of a contractible (left, right) fiber-parallel topological I^{-1}-semigroup.*

4.2. **Semidirect Products.** In this subsection we show that the class of fiber-parallel topological I^{-1}-semigroup is closed under semidirect products. Semidirect products of semilattices and groups were studied in [10, VII.5]. Semidirect products of regular semigroups were considered in [6].

In this paper we define and study semidirect products of topological I^{-1}-semigroups.

By a *continuous action* of a topological I^{-1}-semigroup F on a topological I^{-1}-semigroup S we understand a continuous function $\alpha : F \times S \to S$ having the following properties:

- for each $f \in F$ the function $\alpha_f : S \to S$, $\alpha_f : x \mapsto \alpha(f,x)$, is a bijective I^{-1}-homomorphism of S;
- $\alpha_{fg} = \alpha_f \circ \alpha_g$ for each $f,g \in F$;

This definition implies that for each $f \in F$ the map α_f is an element of the automorphism group of S. Then the function $\alpha_{ff^{-1}} = \alpha_{ff^{-1}} \circ \alpha_{ff^{-1}}$, being an idempotent, coincides with the identity map id_S of S. Since $\mathrm{id}_S = \alpha_f \circ \alpha_{f^{-1}}$, the map $\alpha_{f^{-1}}$ coincides with the inverse map $(\alpha_f)^{-1}$ to α_f.

Each continuous action $\alpha : F \times S \to S$ of a topological I^{-1}-semigroup F on a topological I^{-1}-semigroup S, induces a continuous associative operation

$$(s,f) \cdot (t,g) = (s \cdot \alpha_f(t), f \cdot g)$$

on the product $S \times F$. By $S \rtimes_\alpha F$ we denote the product $S \times F$ endowed with this binary operation and the inversion operation defined by the formula

$$(s,f)^{-1} = (\alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1}), f^{-1}) \ \text{ for } \ (s,f) \in S \times F.$$

The continuity of the inversion operation follows from the continuity of the action α and the continuity of the inversions on the topological I^{-1}-semigroups S and F.

Observe that for each element $(s,f) \in S \rtimes F$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}(s,f)(s,f)^{-1}(s,f) &= (s,f) \cdot (\alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1}), f^{-1}) \cdot (s,f) = (s \cdot \alpha_{ff^{-1}}(s^{-1}), ff^{-1}) \cdot (s,f) = \\ &= (ss^{-1}, ff^{-1}) \cdot (s,f) = (ss^{-1} \cdot \alpha_{ff^{-1}}(s), ff^{-1}f) = (ss^{-1}s, f) = (s,f)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(s,f)^{-1}(s,f)(s,f)^{-1} &= (\alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1}), f^{-1}) \cdot (s,f) \cdot (\alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1}), f^{-1}) = \\ &= (\alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1}) \cdot \alpha_{f^{-1}}(s), f^{-1}f) \cdot (\alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1}), f^{-1}) = \\ &= (\alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1}s), f^{-1}f) \cdot (\alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1}), f^{-1}) = \\ &= (\alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1}s) \cdot \alpha_{f^{-1}f}(\alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1})), f^{-1}ff^{-1}) = \\ &= (\alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1}s) \cdot \alpha_{f^{-1}ff^{-1}}(s^{-1}), f^{-1}) = (\alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1}s) \cdot \alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1}), f^{-1}) = \\ &= (\alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1}ss^{-1}), f^{-1}) = (\alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1}), f^{-1}) = (s,f)^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

which means that $S \rtimes_\alpha F$ is a topological I^{-1}-semigroup.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $\alpha : F \times S \to S$ be a continuous action of a topological I^{-1}-semigroup F on a topological I^{-1}-semigroup S.*

1. *The projection $\mathrm{pr} : S \rtimes_\alpha F \to F$, $\mathrm{pr} : (s, f) \mapsto f$, is an I^{-1}-homomorphism;*
2. *For any idempotent $f \in F$ with $f^{-1} = f$ the embedding $i_f : S \to S \rtimes_\alpha F$, $i_f : s \mapsto (s, f)$, is an I^{-1}-homomorphism;*
3. *For any idempotent $s \in S$ such that $\alpha_f(s) = s = s^{-1}$ for all $f \in F$, the embedding $i_s : F \to S \rtimes_\alpha F$, $i_s : f \mapsto (s, f)$, is an I^{-1}-homomorphism.*

Proof. 1. The first statement trivially follows from the definitions of the operations on the I^{-1}-semigroup $S \rtimes_\alpha F$.

2. For any idempotent $f \in F$ with $f^{-1} = f$ the map $\alpha_f : S \to S$ coincides with the identity transformation of S. Observe that for any $s, t \in S$ we get

$$i_f(s) \cdot i_f(t) = (s, f) \cdot (t, f) = (s \cdot \alpha_f(t), ff) = (st, f) = i_f(st),$$

which means that $i_f : S \to S \times \{f\} \subset S \rtimes_\alpha F$ is a semigroup homomorphism. On the other hand,

$$(i_f(s))^{-1} = (s, f)^{-1} = (\alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1}), f^{-1}) = (\alpha_f(s^{-1}), f) = (s^{-1}, f) = i_f(s^{-1})$$

witnesses that i_f is an I^{-1}-homomorphism.

3. Finally assume that $s \in S$ is an idempotent such that $\alpha_f(s) = s = s^{-1}$ for all $f \in F$. Observe that for any $f, g \in F$ we get

$$i_s(f) \cdot i_s(g) = (s, f) \cdot (s, g) = (s \cdot \alpha_f(s), fg) = (ss, fg) = (s, fg) = i_s(fg)$$

which means that i_s is a semigroup homomorphism. On the other hand,

$$(i_s(f))^{-1} = (s, f)^{-1} = (\alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1}), f^{-1}) = (\alpha_{f^{-1}}(s), f^{-1}) = (s, f^{-1}) = i_s(f^{-1})$$

witnesses that $i_s : F \mapsto \{s\} \times F \subset S \rtimes_\alpha F$ is an I^{-1}-homomorphism. □

The operation of the semidirect product preserves many classes of topological I^{-1}-semigroups.

Proposition 4.6. *Let $\alpha : F \times S \to S$ be a continuous action of a non-empty topological I^{-1}-semigroup F on a non-empty topological I^{-1}-semigroup S. The semidirect product $S \rtimes_\alpha F$ is*

1. *an I-semigroup if and only if S and T are I-semigroups;*
2. *an I_*-semigroup if and only if S and T are I_*-semigroups;*
3. *an I^*-semigroup if and only if S and T are I^*-semigroups;*
4. *an EI-semigroup if and only if S and T are EI-semigroups;*
5. *an inverse semigroup if and only if S and T are inverse semigroups;*
6. *a group if and only if S and T are groups;*
7. *an crI-semigroup if and only if S and T are crI-semigroups and $\alpha_f(e) = e$ for each $f \in F$ and each idempotent $e \in S$.*
8. *a Clifford semigroup if and only if S and T are Clifford semigroups and $\alpha_f(e) = e$ for each $f \in F$ and each idempotent $e \in S$.*

Proof. 1. Assume that S and F are I-semigroups. Then for any element $(s, f) \in S \rtimes_\alpha F$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}((s, f)^{-1})^{-1} &= (\alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1}), f^{-1})^{-1} = (\alpha_{(f^{-1})^{-1}}(\alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1})^{-1}), (f^{-1})^{-1}) = \\ &= (\alpha_f(\alpha_{f^{-1}}((s^{-1})^{-1})), f) = (\alpha_{ff^{-1}}(s), f) = (s, f),\end{aligned}$$

which means that $S \rtimes_\alpha F$ is an I-semigroup.

Now assume conversely that the semidirect product $S \rtimes_\alpha F$ is an I-semigroup. To see that F, S are I-semigroups, take any elements $f \in F$ and $s \in S$. It follows from

$$\begin{aligned}(s, f) = ((s, f)^{-1})^{-1} &= (\alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1}), f^{-1})^{-1} = \\ &= (\alpha_{(f^{-1})^{-1}}((\alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1}))^{-1}), (f^{-1})^{-1}) = \\ &= (\alpha_{(f^{-1})^{-1}}(\alpha_{f^{-1}}((s^{-1})^{-1})), (f^{-1})^{-1}) = \\ &= (\alpha_{(f^{-1})^{-1}f^{-1}}((s^{-1})^{-1}), (f^{-1})^{-1})\end{aligned}$$

that $f = (f^{-1})^{-1}$, which means that F is an I-semigroup.

On the other hand, the equalities

$$s = \alpha_{(f^{-1})^{-1}f}((s^{-1})^{-1}) = \alpha_{ff^{-1}}((s^{-1})^{-1}) = (s^{-1})^{-1}$$

imply that S is an I-semigroup.

2. Assume that the semigroups S, F are I_*-semigroups. Then they are I-semigroups and $S \rtimes_\alpha F$ is an I-semigroup by the preceding statement. It remains to check that for any element $(s,f) \in S \rtimes_\alpha F$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned}((s,f)\cdot(s,f)^{-1})^{-1} &= ((s,f)\cdot\alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1}), f^{-1})^{-1} = (s\cdot\alpha_f(\alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1})), ff^{-1})^{-1} = \\ &= (s\cdot\alpha_{ff^{-1}}(s^{-1}), ff^{-1})^{-1} = (ss^{-1}, ff^{-1})^{-1} = \\ &= (\alpha_{(ff^{-1})^{-1}}((ss^{-1})^{-1}), (ff^{-1})^{-1}) = (ss^{-1}, ff^{-1}) = (s,f)\cdot(s,f)^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

which means that $S \rtimes_\alpha F$ is an I_*-semigroup.

If $S \rtimes_\alpha F$ is an I_*-semigroup, then we can apply Proposition 4.5(1,2) to show that S and F are I_*-semigroups.

3. Assume that the semigroups S, F are I^*-semigroups. Then they are I-semigroups and $S \rtimes_\alpha F$ is an I-semigroup by a preceding statement. It remains to check that the involution on $S \rtimes_\alpha F$ is an antihomomorphism. Given any elements $(s,f),(t,g) \in S \rtimes_\alpha F$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}((s,f)\cdot(t,g))^{-1} &= (s\cdot\alpha_f(t), fg)^{-1} = (\alpha_{(fg)^{-1}}((s\cdot\alpha_f(t))^{-1}), (fg)^{-1}) = (\alpha_{g^{-1}f^{-1}}(\alpha_f(t)^{-1}\cdot s^{-1}), g^{-1}f^{-1}) = \\ &= (\alpha_{g^{-1}f^{-1}}(\alpha_f(t^{-1})\cdot s^{-1}), g^{-1}f^{-1}) = (\alpha_{g^{-1}f^{-1}f}(t^{-1})\cdot\alpha_{g^{-1}f^{-1}}(s^{-1}), g^{-1}f^{-1}) = \\ &= (\alpha_{g^{-1}}(\alpha_{f^{-1}f}(t^{-1}))\cdot\alpha_{g^{-1}f^{-1}}(s^{-1}), g^{-1}f^{-1}) = (\alpha_{g^{-1}}(t^{-1})\cdot\alpha_{g^{-1}f^{-1}}(s^{-1}), g^{-1}f^{-1}) = \\ &= (\alpha_{g^{-1}}(t^{-1}), g^{-1})\cdot(\alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1}), f^{-1}) = (t,g)^{-1}\cdot(s,f)^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

which means that $S \rtimes_\alpha F$ is an I^*-semigroup.

If $S \rtimes_\alpha F$ is an I^*-semigroup, then we can apply Proposition 4.5(1,2) to show that S and F are I^*-semigroups.

4. Assume that S and F are EI-semigroups. To show that $S \rtimes_\alpha F$ is an EI-semigroup, fix any idempotent $(s,f) \in S \rtimes_\alpha F$. The equality $(s,f) = (s,f)\cdot(s,f) = (s\cdot\alpha_f(s), f^2)$ implies that f is an idempotent in F. Then α_f is the identity transformation of S and hence $s = s^2$ is an idempotent in S. Since S and F are EI-semigroups, we get

$$(s,f)^{-1} = (\alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1}), f^{-1}) = (s^{-1}, f^{-1}) = (s,f),$$

which means that $S \rtimes_\alpha F$ is an EI-semigroup.

If $S \rtimes_\alpha F$ is an EI-semigroup, then for any idempotents $s \in S$ and $f \in F$ the pair (s,f) is an idempotent in $S \rtimes_\alpha F$ and hence

$$(s,f) = (s,f)^{-1} = (\alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1}), f^{-1}) = (s^{-1}, f^{-1}).$$

This implies that $s^{-1} = s$ and $f^{-1} = f$ and hence S and F are EI-semigroups.

5. It is known [8, 5.1.1, 5.1.2] that an I^{-1}-semigroup is inverse if and only if it is I^*-semigroup and EI-semigroup. Because of that the statement (5) follows from the statements (3) and (4).

6. Assume that S and F are groups. Then they are inverse semigroups and by the preceding statement the semidirect product $S \rtimes_\alpha F$ is an inverse semigroup. Let 1_S and 1_F be the unique idempotents of the groups S, F. Then $(1_S, 1_F)$ is a unique idempotent of the inverse semigroup $S \rtimes_\alpha F$, which implies that this semigroup is a group.

If $S \rtimes_\alpha F$ is a group, then it is an inverse semigroup with a unique idempotent and then the inverse semigroups S, F also have a unique idempotent and hence are groups.

7. Assume that S and F are crI-semigroups and for every $f \in F$ the map α_f does not move idempotents of S. Then for any element $(s,f) \in S \rtimes_\alpha F$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}(s,f)^{-1}\cdot(s,f) &= (\alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1}), f^{-1})\cdot(s,f) = (\alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1})\cdot\alpha_{f^{-1}}(s), f^{-1}f) = (\alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1}s), f^{-1}f) = (s^{-1}s, f^{-1}f) = \\ &= (ss^{-1}, ff^{-1}) = (s\cdot\alpha_{ff^{-1}}(s^{-1}), ff^{-1}) = (s,f)\cdot(\alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1}), f^{-1}) = (s,f)\cdot(s,f)^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

which means that $S \rtimes_\alpha F$ is a crI-semigroup.

Assume conversely that $S \rtimes_\alpha F$ is crI-semigroup. Proposition 4.5 implies that the semigroups S and F are crI-semigroups. So, it remains to check that $\alpha_f(e) = e$ for each idempotent $e \in S$ and each $f \in F$. Consider the element $g = f^{-1}$ and observe that $g^{-1} = (f^{-1})^{-1} = f$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(e, gg^{-1}) &= (ee^{-1}, gg^{-1}) = (e\cdot\alpha_{gg^{-1}}(e^{-1}), gg^{-1}) = (e,g)\cdot(\alpha_{g^{-1}}(e^{-1}), g^{-1}) = (e,g)\cdot(e,g)^{-1} = \\ &= (e,g)^{-1}\cdot(e,g) = (\alpha_{g^{-1}}(e^{-1}), g^{-1})\cdot(e,g) = (\alpha_{g^{-1}}(e^{-1}e), g^{-1}g) = (\alpha_f(e), g^{-1}g)\end{aligned}$$

implies that $\alpha_f(e) = e$.

8. The last statement follows from the statements (5) and (7). □

The operation of semidirect product preserves also (left, right) fiber-parallel topological I^{-1}-semigroups.

Theorem 4.7. *Let $\alpha : F \times S \to S$ be a continuous action of a non-empty topological I^{-1}-semigroup F on a non-empty topological I^{-1}-semigroup S. The semidirect product $S \rtimes_\alpha F$ is (left, right) fiber-parallel if and only if the topological I^{-1}-semigroups S and F are (left, right) fiber-parallel.*

Proof. We divide the proof into five claims.

Claim 4.8. *If the I^{-1}-semidirect $S \rtimes_\alpha F$ is (left, right) fiber-parallel, then so is the I^{-1}-semigroup S.*

Proof. First assume that $S \rtimes_\alpha F$ is left fiber-parallel. Given any point $s \in S$, we shall prove that the semigroup S is left fiber-parallel at s. Fix any idempotent $f \in F$. Then α_f and $\alpha_{f^{-1}}$ coincide with the identity transformation of S.

Given any neighborhood $O_s \subset S$ of s, consider the neighborhood $O_{(s,f)} = O_s \times F \subset S \rtimes \alpha F$ of the point $(s,f) \in S \rtimes_\alpha F$. The left fiber-parallelity of $S \rtimes_\alpha F$ at (s,f) yields neighborhoods $U_{(s,f)}$ and $W_{(s,f)^{-1}(s,f)}$ of (s,f) and $(s,f)^{-1}(s,f)$ such that $(t,g) \cdot W_{(s,f)^{-1}(s,f)} \subset (S \rtimes_\alpha F) \setminus U_{(s,f)}$ for each pair $(t,g) \in (S \rtimes_\alpha F) \setminus O_{(s,f)}$ with $(t,g)^{-1}(t,g) \in W_{(s,f)^{-1}(s,f)}$. Since

$$(s,f)^{-1}(s,f) = (\alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1}), f^{-1}) \cdot (s,f) = (\alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1}s), f^{-1}f) = (s^{-1}s, f^{-1}f),$$

we can assume that the neighborhoods $U_{(s,f)}$ and $W_{(s,f)^{-1}(s,f)}$ are of the form $U_{(s,f)} = U_s \times U_f$ and $W_{(s,f)^{-1}(s,f)} = W_{s^{-1}s} \times W_{f^{-1}f}$ for some open sets $U_s, W_{s^{-1}s} \subset S$ and $U_f, W_{f^{-1}f} \subset F$.

We claim that the neighborhoods U_s and $W_{s^{-1}s}$ witness that S is left fiber-parallel at s in the sense that $tW_{s^{-1}s} \subset S \setminus U_s$ for each element $t \in S \setminus O_s$ with $t^{-1}t \in W_{s^{-1}s}$. Assume conversely that $tw \in U_s$ for some element $w \in W_{s^{-1}s}$. Then for the elements $(t,f) \in (S \setminus O_s) \times F = (S \rtimes_\alpha F) \setminus O_{(s,f)}$ and $(w, f^{-1}f) \in W_{s^{-1}s} \times W_{f^{-1}f} = W_{(s,f)^{-1}(s,f)}$ we get

$$(t,f)^{-1}(t,f) = (t^{-1}t, f^{-1}f) \in W_{s^{-1}s} \times W_{f^{-1}f} = W_{(s,f)^{-1}(s,f)}$$

and

$$(t,f) \cdot (w, f^{-1}f) = (t \cdot \alpha_f(w), ff^{-1}f) = (tw, f) \in U_s \times U_f = U_{(s,f)},$$

which contradicts the choice of the neighborhoods $U_{(s,f)}$ and $W_{(s,f)^{-1}(s,f)}$. This contradiction shows that the I^{-1}-semigroup S is left fiber-parallel.

By analogy we can prove that the right fiber-paralleity of $S \rtimes_\alpha F$ implies that the right fiber-parallelity of the semigroup S. □

Claim 4.9. *If the I^{-1}-semigroup $S \rtimes_\alpha F$ is right fiber-parallel, then so is the I^{-1}-semigroup F.*

Proof. To check the right fiber-parallelity of F, take any point $f \in F$ and a neighborhood $O_f \subset F$ of f. Fix any element $s \in S$ and consider the point $(s,f) \in S \rtimes_\alpha F$ and its neighborhood $O_{(s,f)} = S \times O_f$ in $S \rtimes_\alpha F$. The right fiber-parallelity of $S \rtimes_\alpha F$ at (s,f) yields neighborhoods $U_{(s,f)}$ and $W_{(s,f)(s,f)^{-1}}$ of (s,f) and $(s,f)(s,f)^{-1}$ such that $W_{(s,f)(s,f)^{-1}} \cdot (t,g) \subset (S \rtimes_\alpha F) \setminus U_{(s,f)}$ for each element $(t,g) \in (S \rtimes_\alpha F) \setminus O_{(s,f)}$ with $(t,g)(t,g)^{-1} \in W_{(s,f)(s,f)^{-1}}$. We lose no generality assuming that the neighborhood $U_{(s,f)}$ is of the form $U_s \times U_f$ for some neighborhoods $U_s \subset S$ and $U_f \subset F$ of s and f, respectively.

Since

$$(s,f) \cdot (s,f)^{-1} = (s,f) \cdot (\alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1}), f^{-1}) = (s \cdot \alpha_{ff^{-1}}(s^{-1}), ff^{-1}) = (ss^{-1}, ff^{-1})$$

we can also assume that $W_{(s,f)(s,f)^{-1}} = W_{ss^{-1}} \times W_{ff^{-1}}$ for some neighborhoods $W_{ss^{-1}} \subset S$ and $W_{ff^{-1}} \subset F$ of the idempotents ss^{-1} and ff^{-1}, respectively.

Since $ss^{-1} \cdot \alpha_{ff^{-1}}(s) = ss^{-1}s = s \in U_s$, the continuity of the action α, yields a neighborhood $W'_{ff^{-1}} \subset W_{ff^{-1}}$ of ff^{-1} such that $ss^{-1} \cdot \alpha_w(s) \in U_s$ for each $w \in W'_{ff^{-1}}$.

We claim that $W'_{ff^{-1}} \cdot g \subset F \setminus U_f$ for each point $g \in F \setminus O_f$ with $gg^{-1} \in W'_{ff^{-1}}$, witnessing that F is right fiber-parallel at f.

Assume conversely that $wg \in U_f$ for some $w \in W'_{ff^{-1}}$. Since

$$(s,g) \cdot (s,g)^{-1} = (ss^{-1}, gg^{-1}) \in W_{ss^{-1}} \times W_{ff^{-1}} = W_{(s,f)(s,f)^{-1}},$$

$$(ss^{-1}, w) \in W_{ss^{-1}} \times W'_{ff^{-1}} \subset W_{(s,f)(s,f)^{-1}},$$

and

$$(ss^{-1}, w) \cdot (s,g) = (ss^{-1}\alpha_w(s), wg) \in U_s \times U_f = U_{(s,f)},$$

the choice of the neighborhoods $U_{(s,f)}$ and $W_{(s,f)(s,f)^{-1}}$ guarantees that $(s,g) \in O_{(s,f)} = S \times O_f$, which contradicts the choice of $g \notin O_f$. □

Claim 4.10. *If the I^{-1}-semigroup $S \rtimes_\alpha F$ is left fiber-parallel, then so is the I^{-1}-semigroup F.*

Proof. To check the left fiber-parallelity of F, take any point $f \in F$ and a neighborhood $O_f \subset F$ of f. Fix any element $s \in S$ and consider the point $(s,f) \in S \rtimes_\alpha F$ and its neighborhood $O_{(s,f)} = S \times O_f$ in $S \rtimes_\alpha F$. The left fiber-parallelity of $S \rtimes_\alpha F$ at (s,f) yields neighborhoods $U_{(s,f)}$ and $W_{(s,f)^{-1}(s,f)}$ of (s,f) and $(s,f)^{-1}(s,f)$ such that $(t,g) \cdot W_{(s,f)^{-1}(s,f)} \subset (S \rtimes_\alpha F) \setminus U_{(s,f)}$ for each element $(t,g) \in (S \rtimes_\alpha F) \setminus O_{(s,f)}$ with $(t,g)^{-1}(t,g) \in W_{(s,f)^{-1}(s,f)}$. We lose no generality assuming that the neighborhood $U_{(s,f)}$ is of the form $U_s \times U_f$ for some neighborhoods $U_s \subset S$ and $U_f \subset F$ of s and f, respectively.

Let $e = \alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1}s) \in S$ and observe that

$$(s,f)^{-1}(s,f) = (\alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1}), f^{-1}) \cdot (s,f) = (\alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1}s), f^{-1}f) = (e, f^{-1}f).$$

We lose no generality assuming that $W_{(s,f)^{-1}(s,f)} = W_e \times W_{f^{-1}f}$ for some neighborhoods $W_e \subset S$ and $W_{f^{-1}f} \subset F$ of e and $f^{-1}f$, respectively.

The continuity of the action $\alpha : S \times F \to S$ yields a neighborhood $U_{f^{-1}} \subset F$ of f^{-1} such that $\alpha_g(s^{-1}s) \in W_e$ for each $g \in U_{f^{-1}}$. Since $f^{-1}f \cdot f^{-1} = f^{-1} \in U_{f^{-1}}$, the continuity of the multiplication and inversion of F yields neighborhoods $W'_{f^{-1}f} \subset W_{f^{-1}f}$ and $U'_f \subset U_f$ such that $W'_{f^{-1}f} \cdot (U'_f)^{-1} \subset U'_{f^{-1}}$.

We claim that the neighborhoods U'_f and $W'_{f^{-1}f}$ witness that F is left fiber-parallel at f in the sense that $gW'_{f^{-1}f} \subset F \setminus U'_f$ for each element $g \in F \setminus O_f$ with $g^{-1}g \in W'_{f^{-1}f}$. Assume conversely that $gw \in U'_f$ for some $w \in W'_{f^{-1}f}$.

Consider the elements (s,g) and $(\alpha_{g^{-1}}(s^{-1}s), w)$ of the semigroup $S \rtimes_\alpha F$. It follows that $(s,g)^{-1}(s,g) = (\alpha_{g^{-1}}(s^{-1}s), g^{-1}g)$. We claim that $\alpha_{g^{-1}}(s^{-1}s) \in W_e$. Observe that

$$\alpha_{g^{-1}} = \alpha_w \circ \alpha_{w^{-1}} \circ \alpha_{g^{-1}} = \alpha_w \circ \alpha_{(gw)^{-1}} = \alpha_{w(gw)^{-1}}.$$

It follows that

$$w(gw)^{-1} \in W'_{f^{-1}f} \cdot (U'_f)^{-1} \subset U'_{f^{-1}}$$

and hence

$$\alpha_{g^{-1}}(s^{-1}s) = \alpha_{w(gw)^{-1}}(s^{-1}s) \in W_e$$

by the choice of the neighborhood $U'_{f^{-1}}$. Therefore

$$(s,g)^{-1}(s,g) = (\alpha_{g^{-1}}(s^{-1}s), g^{-1}g) \in W_e \times W'_{f^{-1}f} \subset W_{(s,f)^{-1}(s,f)}.$$

Since

$$(\alpha_{g^{-1}}(s^{-1}s), w) \in W_e \times W'_{f^{-1}f} \subset W_{(s,f)^{-1}(s,f)}$$

and

$$(s,g) \cdot (\alpha_{g^{-1}}(s^{-1}s), w) = (s \cdot \alpha_{gg^{-1}}(s^{-1}s), gw) = (ss^{-1}s, gw) = (s, gw) \in U_s \times U'_f \subset U_{(s,f)},$$

the choice of the neighborhoods $U_{(s,f)}$ and $W_{(s,f)^{-1}(s,f)}$ guarantees that $(s,g) \in O_{(s,f)} = S \times O_f$, which contradicts the choice of $g \notin O_f$. □

Claim 4.11. *If the topological I^{-1}-semigroups S, F are left fiber-parallel, then so is their semidirect product $S \rtimes_\alpha F$.*

Proof. To prove that $S \rtimes_\alpha F$ is left fiber-parallel, take any point $(s,f) \in S \rtimes_\alpha F$ and its neighborhood $O_{(s,f)} \subset S \rtimes_\alpha F$. We lose no generality assuming that this neighborhood is of basic form: $O_{(s,f)} = O_s \times O_f$ where O_s and O_f are open neighborhoods of s and f in S and F, respectively. Since S is left fiber-parallel at s, there are neighborhoods $U_s \subset S$ and $W_{s^{-1}s} \subset S$ of s and $s^{-1}s$ such that $tW_{s^{-1}s} \subset S \setminus U_s$ for each point $t \in S \setminus O_s$.

Now consider the idempotent $e = \alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1}s) \in S$ and observe that

$$\alpha(f,e) = \alpha_f(e) = \alpha_f(\alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1}s)) = \alpha_{ff^{-1}}(s^{-1}s) = s^{-1}s \in W_{s^{-1}s}.$$

The continuity of the action α yields a neighborhood $O'_f \subset O_f$ of f and a neighborhood W_e of e such that $\alpha(O'_f \times W_e) \subset W_{s^{-1}s}$.

Since the I^{-1}-semigroup F is left fiber-parallel at f there are neighborhoods $U_f \subset F$ and $W_{f^{-1}f} \subset F$ of f and $f^{-1}f$ such that $gW_{f^{-1}f} \subset F \setminus U_f$ for each point $g \in F \setminus O'_f$ with $g^{-1}g \in W_{f^{-1}f}$.

Observe that $(s,f)^{-1}(s,f) = (\alpha_{f^{-1}}(s^{-1}s), f^{-1}f) = (e, f^{-1}f)$ and hence $W_{(s,f)^{-1}(s,f)} = W_e \times W_{f^{-1}f}$ is a neighborhood of the idempotent $(s,f)^{-1}(s,f)$ in the I^{-1}-semigroup $S \rtimes_\alpha F$. We claim that the neighborhoods $U_{(s,f)} = U_s \times U_f$ and $W_{(s,f)^{-1}(s,f)}$ witness that the I^{-1}-semigroup $S \rtimes_\alpha F$ is left fiber-parallel at (s,f). Given any point $(t,g) \in (S \rtimes_\alpha F) \setminus O_{(s,f)}$ with $(t,g)^{-1}(t,g) \in W_{(s,f)^{-1}(s,f)}$, we should prove that $(t,g)W_{(s,f)^{-1}(s,f)} \subset (S \rtimes_\alpha F) \setminus U_{(s,f)}$. To derive a contradiction, assume that $(t,g)(w,h) \in U_{(s,f)} = U_s \times U_f$ for some point $(w,h) \in W_{(s,f)^{-1}(s,f)}$.

Observe that

$$W_e \times W_{f^{-1}f} = W_{(s,f)^{-1}(s,f)} \ni (t,g)^{-1}(t,g) = (\alpha_{g^{-1}}(t^{-1}t), g^{-1}g)$$

implies $g^{-1}g \in W_{f^{-1}f}$ and $\alpha_{g^{-1}}(t^{-1}t) \in W_e$. Also $(w,h) \in W_{(s,f)^{-1}(s,f)} = W_e \times W_{f^{-1}f}$ yields $w \in W_e$ and $h \in W_{f^{-1}f}$. We claim that $(t,g) \in O_{(s,f)}$.

It follows from $g^{-1}g, h \in W_{f^{-1}f}$ and $gh \in U_f$ that $g \in O'_f \subset O_f$. Then

$$t^{-1}t = \alpha_{gg^{-1}}(t^{-1}t) = \alpha_g(\alpha_{g^{-1}}(t^{-1}t)) = \alpha(g, \alpha_{g^{-1}}(t^{-1}t)) \in \alpha(O'_f \times W_e) \subset W_{s^{-1}s}.$$

By the same reason,

$$\alpha_g(w) = \alpha(g,w) \in \alpha(O'_f \times W_e) \subset W_{s^{-1}s}.$$

Observe also that

$$(t \cdot \alpha_g(w), gh) = (t,g) \cdot (w,h) \in U_{(s,t)} = U_s \times U_f$$

yields $gh \in U_f$ and $t \cdot \alpha_g(w) \in U_s$. The choice of the neighborhoods U_s and $W_{s^{-1}s} \supset \{t^{-1}t, \alpha_g(w)\}$ guarantees that $t \in O_s$. Conseqeuntly, $(t,g) \in O_s \times O_f = O_{(s,f)}$, which is a desired contradiction that completes the proof of the left fiber-parallelity of the I^{-1}-semigroup $S \rtimes_\alpha F$. □

Claim 4.12. *If the topological I^{-1}-semigroups S, F are right fiber-parallel, then so is their semidirect product $S \rtimes_\alpha F$.*

Proof. To prove that $S \rtimes_\alpha F$ is right fiber-parallel, take any point $(s,f) \in S \rtimes_\alpha F$ and its neighborhood $O_{(s,f)} \subset S \rtimes_\alpha F$. We lose no generality assuming that it is of basic form $O_{(s,f)} = O_s \times O_f$ for some open neighborhoods $O_s \subset S$ and $O_f \subset F$ of s and f, respectively. Since F is right fiber-parallel, there are neighborhoods $U_f \subset F$ and $W_{ff^{-1}} \subset S$ of f and ff^{-1} such that $W_{ff^{-1}} \cdot g \subset F \setminus U_f$ for each element $g \in F \setminus O_f$ with $gg^{-1} \in W_{ff^{-1}}$.

Consider the continuous function $\beta : F \times S \to S$, $\beta : (g,t) \mapsto \alpha_{g^{-1}}(t) = \alpha_g^{-1}(t)$, and observe that

$$\beta(ff^{-1}, s) = \alpha^{-1}_{ff^{-1}}(s) = s.$$

The continuity of β yields a neighborhood $W'_{ff^{-1}} \subset W_{ff^{-1}}$ of ff^{-1} and a neighborhood $O'_s \subset O_s$ of s such that $\beta(W'_{ff^{-1}} \times O'_s) \subset O_s$. Since S is right fiber-parallel at s, there are neighborhoods $U'_s \subset S$ and $W'_{ss^{-1}} \subset S$ of s and ss^{-1} such that $W'_{ss^{-1}} \cdot t \subset S \setminus U'_s$ for each element $t \in S \setminus O'_s$ with $tt^{-1} \in W'_{ss^{-1}}$.

Since $\alpha_{ff^{-1}}(ss^{-1}) = ss^{-1}$, the continuity of the function β at (ff^{-1}, ss^{-1}) yields two neighborhoods $W''_{ff^{-1}} \subset W'_{ff^{-1}}$ and $W''_{ss^{-1}} \subset W_{ss^{-1}}$ of the points ff^{-1} and ss^{-1} such that $\beta(W''_{ff^{-1}} \times W''_{ss^{-1}}) \subset W'_{ss^{-1}}$.

We claim that $U_{(s,f)} = U'_s \times U_f$ and $W_{(s,f)(s,f)^{-1}} = W''_{ss^{-1}} \times W''_{ff^{-1}}$ are neighborhoods of (s,f) and $(s,f)(s,f)^{-1}$ witnessing that the I^{-1}-semigroup $S \rtimes_\alpha F$ is right fiber-parallel at (s,f). Given a pair $(t,g) \in (S \rtimes_\alpha F) \setminus O_{(s,f)}$ with $(t,g)(t,g)^{-1} \in W_{(s,f)(s,f)^{-1}}$ we need to prove that $W_{(s,f)(s,f)^{-1}} \cdot (t,g) \subset (S \rtimes_\alpha F) \setminus U_{(s,f)}$. To derive a contradiction, assume that $(w,h)(t,g) \in U_{(s,f)} = U_s \times U_f$ for some $(w,h) \in W_{(s,f)(s,f)^{-1}} = W''_{ss^{-1}} \times W''_{ff^{-1}}$. It follows from $(tt^{-1}, gg^{-1}) = (t,g)(t,g)^{-1} \in W_{(s,f)(s,f)^{-1}} = W''_{ss^{-1}} \times W''_{ff^{-1}}$ that $tt^{-1} \in W''_{ss^{-1}}$ and $gg^{-1} \in W''_{ff^{-1}}$.

On the other hand, $(w \cdot \alpha_h(t), hg) = (w,h) \cdot (t,g) \in U_{(s,f)} = U'_s \times U_f$ implies that $w \cdot \alpha_h(t) \in U'_s$ and $hg \in U_f$. Since $gg^{-1} \in W''_{ff^{-1}} \subset W_{ff^{-1}}$, the choice of the neighborhoods $U_f \ni hg$ and $W_{ff^{-1}} \ni h$ guarantees that $g \in O_f$.

The proof of the inclusion $t \in O_s$ is more complicated. First observe that $h \in W''_{ff^{-1}}$ implies $\alpha_h(tt^{-1}) \in \beta(W''_{ff^{-1}} \times W''_{ss^{-1}}) \subset W'_{ss^{-1}}$ and $\alpha_h(t) \cdot (\alpha_h(t))^{-1} = \alpha_h(t) \cdot \alpha_h(t^{-1}) = \alpha_h(tt^{-1}) \in W'_{ss^{-1}}$. Since $w \cdot \alpha_h(t) \in U'_s$ and $\alpha_h(t)(\alpha_h(t))^{-1} \in W'_{ss^{-1}}$, the choice of the neighborhoods U'_s and $W'_{ss^{-1}}$ guarantees that $\alpha_h(t) \in O'_s$. Then

$$t = \alpha_{h^{-1}h}(t) = \alpha_{h^{-1}}(\alpha_h(t)) = \beta(h, \alpha_h(t)) \in \beta(W''_{ff^{-1}} \times O'_s) \subset \beta(W'_{ff^{-1}} \times O'_s) \subset O_s.$$

Therefore, $(t,g) \in O_s \times O_f = O_{(s,f)}$, which is a desired contradiction that completes the proof of the right fiber-parallelity of the I^{-1}-semigroup $S \rtimes_\alpha F$. □

□

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Oleg Gutik for fruitful valuable remarks concerning this paper.

REFERENCES

[1] T. Banakh, O. Hryniv, *On closed embeddings of free topological algebras*, Visnyk Lviv Univ. **61** (2003), 21–25; available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1202.4480.

[2] T. Banakh, I. Pastukhova, *Embedding topological inverse semigroups into the products of cones over groups*, in preparation.

[3] R. Brown, S. Morris, *Embeddings in contractible or compact objects*, Colloq. Math. **38**:2 (1977/78), 213–222.

[4] J. H. Carruth, J. A. Hildebrant and R. J. Koch, *The Theory of Topological Semigroups*, I, II. Marcell Dekker, Inc., New York and Basel, 1983 and 1986.

[5] A. H. Clifford, and G. B. Preston, *The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups*, I, II. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence. 1961 and 1967.

[6] P. Jones, P. Trotter, *Semidirect products of regular semigroups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **349**:11 (1997) 4265–4310.

[7] S. Hartman, J. Mycielski, *On the embedding of topological groups into connected topological groups*, Colloq. Math. **5** (1958) 167–169.

[8] J.M. Howie, *Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory*, Oxford University Press Inc, New York, 1995.

[9] O. Hryniv, *Universal objects in some classes of Clifford topological inverse semigroups*, Semigroup Forum **75**:3 (2007) 683–689.

[10] M. Petrich, *Inverse semigroups*, Wiley-Intersci. Publ. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1984.

IVAN FRANKO NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF LVIV
E-mail address: `t.o.banakh@gmail.com, irynkapastukhova@gmail.com`